

# Poetry, Prophecy, and the Pursuit of Peace and Justice

## Introduction

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which was adopted and ratified by the United Nations in 2015, represents a significant paradigm shift in global policymaking. The agenda seeks to develop a universal model for better futures that are equitable, prosperous, and sustainable. For instance, Hope describes the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as aiming to create “a safe, just and sustainable space for all human beings to thrive on the planet” (57). Hope writes that these goals reflect a moral commitment that “no one and no country should be left behind” (57) and that “everyone and every country should be regarded as having a common responsibility for playing their part in delivering the global vision” (57). Central to this agenda is the understanding that development cannot be separated from the foundational pillars of social stability. Hope states that the SDGs act as “a universal call to action to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure that all people enjoy peace and prosperity” (57). The 17 SDGs are based on “a clear understanding that human rights, peace, security, and development are deeply interlinked and mutually reinforcing” (“Sustainable Development Goal 16”). Sustainable Development Goal 16 (hereinafter referred to as SDG 16) is at the centre of this framework. It outlines the fundamental requirements for a functional and compassionate world, including the

development of inclusive and peaceful societies, universal access to justice, and the establishment of efficient, accountable, and inclusive institutions at all levels. SDG 16 is considered the most ambitious goal in the SDGs (Radović 1). Houten and Edgar describe it as “ambitious” and “sweeping” (2). For these reasons, SDG 16 differs from the other SDGs in that it is one of the more innovative elements of the proposed development framework. Hope views SDG 16 as being focused on “advancing government accountability, building trust, and sustaining peace” (58).

This study argues that, long before the formation of such global policies, literature served as an important vehicle for understanding the crises and aspirations that drive SDG 16. This study argues that W B Yeats’ “The Second Coming”, P B Shelley’s “Ozymandias”, Alfred, Lord Tennyson’s “The Charge of the Light Brigade”, W H Auden’s “September 1, 1939”, and Langston Hughes’ “I Dream a World” offer lasting diagnostic and visionary insights into the core challenges of global peace and justice. Each of these poems emerged during a period of political or social turmoil. They do more than simply describe historical conditions. They are critical forms of socio-political discourse. They provide the emotional, historical, and moral dimensions essential to understand the human implications of the SDG 16 policy objectives. This article examines these poems as dialogues with their pasts. It sees them

as rich cultural artefacts that reflect and shape the ideologies, power structures, and dynamics of their time. Connecting these works to a 21st-century policy initiative is not anachronistic; instead, it highlights the enduring role of literature as a tool for socio-political analysis. These poems are dynamic instruments for understanding contemporary challenges. They provide early analysis of conditions such as violence, injustice, and institutional decay that SDG 16 seeks to address. This approach shifts the study from a basic thematic comparison to a strong argument about the function of literature in the long-term project of human development and justice. One thing to note here is that the selected poems do not offer any solutions or policy models. They record fear, collapse, guilt, loss and hope as they are lived and remembered. The goals of SDG 16 are not foreseen in these poems. Nevertheless, these poems reveal the circumstances under which such a goal becomes necessary. Such circumstances make it clear that peace and justice are not inevitable outcomes of institutional arrangements alone.

### **SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Inclusive Institutions**

Hope argues that both the World Bank and the United Nations have recognised, through their studies, that “insecurity and conflict are major developmental challenges” (58) that often hinder progress. The official mission statement of SDG16 is thus a broad but powerful call to action: “Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide ac-

cess to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels” (“The Global Goals”). This mission is operationalised through 12 distinct targets, each addressing a specific aspect of peace, justice, and governance. For the purpose of a structured literary analysis, these targets can be organised into three functional and thematic groups that reflect the multi-pronged approach of the goal of creating stable societies.

The first group of targets focuses on the most immediate and visceral threats to human well-being, aiming to reduce violence and ensure human safety. This cluster addresses the direct and physical manifestations of conflict and crime. Target 16.1 calls for a significant reduction of “all forms of violence and related death rates everywhere” (“The Global Goals”). Target 16.2 seeks to complement the above goal by aiming to “end abuse, exploitation, trafficking and all forms of violence against and torture of children” (“The Global Goals”) everywhere, especially in areas of severe war, droughts and civil conflicts. Furthermore, Target 16.4 seeks to “significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen the recovery and return of stolen assets and combat all forms of organised crime” (“The Global Goals”). These goals aim to strengthen government machinery and institutions, reducing all forms of violence and exploitation, and mitigating conflicts to foster peace.

The second tranche of targets focuses on the legal and social frameworks necessary to protect individuals and communities, thereby maintaining fairness by promoting equal and equitable access to justice

and freedom. This group emphasises the foundational legal structures that underpin a just society. For instance, Target 16.3 emphasises the promotion of “the rule of law at the national and international levels and ensur[ing] equal access to justice for all” (“The Global Goals”). This is supported by Target 16.9, which aims to “provide legal identity for all, including birth registration” (“The Global Goals”). Finally, Target 16.c calls for the promotion of and the enforcement of non-discriminatory laws and policies for sustainable development, directly confronting the legal underpinnings of inequality and exclusion (“The Global Goals”).

The third and most extensive group of targets concerns the machinery of governance itself: building effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions. This cluster recognises that without trustworthy and functional governing bodies, neither safety nor justice can be sustained. These targets include substantially reducing corruption and bribery (Target 16.5), developing effective, accountable, and transparent institutions (Target 16.6), and ensuring responsive, inclusive, participatory, and representative decision-making at all levels (Target 16.7) (“The Global Goals”). The scope extends to the global stage, with Target 16.8 calling for the strengthened “participation of developing countries in the institutions of global governance” (“The Global Goals”). Foundational freedoms are protected through Target 16. a, which aims to ensure public access to information, while Target 16.b focuses on strengthening national institutions to prevent violence and combat crime (“The Global Goals”).

The United Nations framework explicitly states that these components are not isolated objectives but form an indivisible whole. “High levels of armed conflict and insecurity have a destructive impact on a country’s development” (Sustainable Development Goal 16), and institutions that do not function according to legitimate laws are prone to arbitrariness and abuse of power. Failure to achieve the targets of SDG 16 creates a cascading effect, undermining progress on poverty (SDG 1), hunger (SDG 2), health (SDG 3), and equality (SDGs 5 and 10). Therefore, SDG 16 functions as a fundamental precondition for all other forms of sustainable development. This makes its interpretation and articulation in cultural forms, such as poetry, a matter of critical importance.

The poems of Yeats, Shelley, Tennyson, Auden, and Hughes, when read through the framework of SDG 16, function as powerful diagnostic tools. They examine how societies collapse and identify, with poetic precision, the root causes of violence, injustice, and institutional decay that the 2030 Agenda seeks to address. On the one hand, these poems are historical artefacts. On the other hand, they are literary case studies of worlds where the principles of SDG 16 have failed, offering timeless warnings about the consequences of such failures.

### **Anarchy and Failed Governance in Yeats’ “The Second Coming”**

Written in 1919, in the chaotic aftermath of the First World War, the Russian Revolution, and the Irish War of Independence, William Butler Yeats’ “The Second

Coming” is perhaps the quintessential literary depiction of systemic societal breakdown. The iconic opening lines of the poem— “Turning and turning in the widening gyre / The falcon cannot hear the falconer; / Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold” (Yeats 187)—offer a direct and visceral articulation of the failure of “effective, accountable and transparent institutions” as stipulated in Target 16.6 (“The Global Goals”). The ‘falconer’ represents control, order, and authority, while the ‘falcon’ symbolises humanity or society, which now spirals into chaos. This image starkly shows how moral, social, and political structures that provide stability and order can, in the face of instability, disintegrate, and order can fall apart.

The consequences of this institutional collapse are clear in the following lines. Yeats states that “Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world” (187), showing a state of lawlessness that directly contradicts Target 16.3’s goal to “promote the rule of law” (“The Global Goals”). This anarchy is not abstract or ideological; it is characterised and manifested by the “blood-dimmed tide” (Yeats 187), a horrifying image of widespread violence that relates to Target 16.1, which seeks to “significantly reduce all forms of violence and related death rates everywhere” (“The Global Goals”). In this world, when he asserts that “The ceremony of innocence is drowned” (Yeats 187), he means a complete loss of societal norms, safety, and moral values. This loss of all societal norms and values in the face of strife, war and destruction particularly affects the most vulnerable—a concern echoed in Target 16.2’s focus on protecting children (“The Global Goals”).

Yeats’ analysis also focuses on the human side of institutional failure. The lines “The best lack all conviction, while the worst / Are full of passionate intensity” (Yeats 187) describe a political landscape where moderate and constructive forces are paralysed, while extremist and destructive ideologies dominate. Such a destructive political landscape undermines “inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making” as described in Target 16.7 (“The Global Goals”). The final, terrifying vision of “what rough beast, its hour come round at last, / Slouches towards Bethlehem to be born?” (Yeats 187) evokes the image of the foul beast or the anti-Christ (Weeks 291). It warns of brutal, authoritarian orders that arise when governance fails. Thus, the poem becomes a timeless caution: when the “centre cannot hold” (Yeats 187), the result is not freedom but a more savage form of control, a direct antithesis to the entire spirit of SDG 16.

### **Tyranny and the Ruins of Power in Shelley’s “Ozymandias”**

Percy Bysshe Shelley, in his 1818 sonnet, “Ozymandias”, offers a concise yet very powerful critique of tyrannical rulers, autocratic institutions and the hubris of unaccountable, unchecked power. A close reading of the poem reveals Shelley’s anti-monarchical and anti-authoritarian views using the ruined statue of the Egyptian Pharaoh Ramses II, “Ozymandias”, to portray the ultimate futility of oppressive power in the face of the unstoppable forces of nature and time. Shelley’s Ozymandias serves as a powerful symbol of hubris, a

braggart whose power and once exalted works have been eroded by time. His works and statues may once have been awe-inspiring, but nature has eventually triumphed; the desert swallowed his city, his monuments and all his proud “works” vanished (Stephens 156). All that now remains is his statue, broken and completely in ruins. The description of the statue’s face, “a shattered visage” (Shelley 1), on which the sculptor has very skillfully etched a “frown,/ And wrinkled lip, and sneer of cold command” (Shelley 1). This “cold command” (Shelley 1) shows a ruthless king, one who rules through contempt, cruelty, and the imposition of his will on others, standing in direct opposition to the principles of “responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making” (Target 16.7) and the enforcement of “non discriminatory laws and policies” as stated in the Target 16.c.

Even as nature, the destroyer, destroys everything in its wake, something profound remains. The inscription on the pedestal still survives, ironically inviting the reader to reflect on the great King Ozymandias and drawing attention to the forces of nature and time that have now reduced his boastful pursuits and his once beautiful statue to ruins (Stephen 156). The inscription provides the central irony of the poem: “My name is Ozymandias, King of Kings;/ Look on my Works, ye Mighty and despair!” (Shelley 1). This boast of absolute, divine power becomes very absurd when contrasted with the ruined scene around the statue. What now exists is mere desert. No monuments remain, no statues of his absolute power survive. The traveller reports, “Nothing beside remain.

Round the decay/ Of that colossal Wreck, boundless and bare/ The lone and level sands stretch far away” (Shelley 1). This contrast serves as a powerful allegory for the ultimate unsustainability of political systems built on haughty arrogance and unaccountable power. These lines show that any institution, built by haughty kings and conquerors, built to show their power and arrogance, far from being eternal, is fragile and doomed to be erased from the face of the earth by the unceasing and unyielding forces of time and nature- a reminder of the transience of human power and accomplishment” (Zaman and Chakraborty 67).

Shelley’s poem is a timeless commentary on the fallibility of political rulers and the inevitability of their decline when their power is not based on justice or the consent of the people. The “colossal Wreck” (Shelley 1) is a metaphor for the shattered political power of any tyrant who believes their authority is absolute. As Telin explains, “Don Quixote fought windmills, and Captain Ahab hunted white whales. Faced with a lack of real challenges after all these years, political leaders can measure their strength with a “distorted view of historical facts” or “propaganda of all-permissiveness.” After all, many Ozymandiases did this all the time” (Telin 36). The poem implicitly argues for the principles of SDG 16 by showing the opposite: a world ruled by a single, unaccountable figure is a world destined for ruin. The enduring power of the poem, and the sculptor’s art that “mocked” (Shelley 1) the tyrant’s passions, suggests that art and language last longer than the ephemeral power of despots. This theme emphasises the importance of protecting

and safeguarding fundamental human freedoms and rights, such as freedom of expression (Target 16.10) (“The Global Goals”).

### **Institutional Incompetence and the Futility of Conflict in Tennyson’s “The Charge of the Light Brigade”**

Alfred, Lord Tennyson’s 1854 poem, “The Charge of the Light Brigade”, was written in response to a newspaper report by *The Times* on the battle of Balaclava during the Crimean War. While the poem intended to immortalise the bravery of the British Light Cavalry, who valiantly fought to their deaths against the Russians and while it does read as a celebration of the soldiers’ patriotic duty and sacrifice, a closer, deeper reading reveals a sharp critique of institutional failure, and the failure of the authority figures who forced those young men to ride to their deaths. As Quakenbush and Quakenbush explain, the poem clearly depicts the savagery of war, depicting young men riding to their deaths for “duty,” following orders they never questioned (218). The core of the poem lies in the tension between the duty of the soldiers and the error, the blunder of those in charge, expressed in the line: “Not tho’ the soldier knew/ Someone had blunder’d” (Tennyson 168). These lines, when read closely, challenge the reporting of *The Times*, which on that fateful day on November 12, 1854, merely praised the loyalty of the soldiers: “[t]he British soldier will do his duty, even to certain death, and is not paralysed by feeling that he is the victim of some hideous blunder” (Shannon and Ricks 1). Tennyson adopts an entirely defiant tone, defying

official narratives, transforming the poem from a glorification of the bravery of the dead soldiers into a very tragic critique of a catastrophic failure in the military chain of command. As he explains, the charge of the light brigade was never a strategic masterstroke; it was instead a fatal error, causing senseless loss of life that Target 16.1 of the SDGs seeks to prevent.

The poem vividly shows the chaos and horror of a conflict caused not by strategy, but by institutional failure. The soldiers ride “Into the jaws of Death, / Into the mouth of Hell” (Tennyson 169), following a flawed order. These lines highlight the importance of “effective, accountable and transparent institutions” in Target 16.6 (“The Global Goals”), particularly in structures like the military, which control life and death. The poem critiques a system where soldiers do not “make reply” (Tennyson 168) or “reason why” (Tennyson 168), but simply “do and die” (Tennyson 168). This unquestioning obedience, although framed as noble, contradicts the modern principles of responsive and representative decision-making (Target 16.7). It depicts an institution where individuals are dehumanised into instruments of a flawed authority, their lives lost to a blunder. At the same time, Tennyson honours the bravery and dutifulness of the soldiers, even in the face of certain death. They perform a doomed mission, and, as Darrohn suggests, their “glory” will never “fade” (Darrohn 197).

Tennyson refers to those soldiers who rode to their deaths not as individuals but rather as a collective— “the six hundred” (Tennyson 167). By calling them so, Tennyson deliberately emphasises the scale

of the unnecessary tragedy and avoids the direct criticism of the command, which was necessary given Tennyson's role as the then poet laureate. But that refrain— "the six hundred" reinforced by the poetic structure that he uses in the poem with six stanzas and six lines in each of those stanzas, evokes the harsh truth that war disregards the individual. The individual life does not matter; it is the collective that suffers or wins. It illustrates how clearly war and its ensuing destruction destroy human agency and precious individuality (Darrohn 198). The strong rhythm of the poem, which evokes the sound of galloping horses that took those men to their deaths, into a cannon-filled valley, creates a sense of foreboding, unstoppable and mechanically enforced doom. The conflict and its resulting deaths were futile. The generals and the commanders "blunder'd". Yet the sacrifice is real and immense. The deaths were rooted in a mistake. Thus, "The Charge of the Light Brigade" becomes a powerful historical testament to the very real human cost and the deaths that result from institutional failure. It highlights the importance and the need for accountable leadership (Target 16.6), as well as transparency and responsible policy and decision-making (Target 16.7), which have become central pillars of SDG 16.

### **The Political Roots of Global Conflict in Auden's "September 1, 1939"**

Wystan Hugh Auden wrote his poem "September 1, 1939" on the same day that Germany invaded Poland, thereby triggering the beginning of World War II. The poem examines the failures of the previous

eras, which have now led to a war that had the potential to change the world Auden knew for the worse. The poem reflects on the causes of the war and the resulting large-scale violence. He argues that these large-scale wars do not emerge from nothing. Instead, these, according to him, are due to systemic political failures, public apathy and the gradual erosion of all sense of justice and truth. Auden's speaker, sitting in a New York bar, says, "Uncertain and afraid/ As the clever hopes expire/ Of a low dishonest decade" (Auden 112), a direct reference to the economic hardships and the struggles of the Economic depression, political appeasement and most importantly the rise of fascism, not just in Europe and Germany but also in the United States which saw the rise of white power movements like the KKK and so on.

Auden's analysis speaks of the "unmentionable odour of death", that horrid stench of rotting bodies left from the wars and battles fought in Europe that "offends the September night" (Auden 112), which he traces to their historical and psychological sources. He argues that the public and grand cruelty of the Nazi Reich, their holocaust and the Kristallnacht reflect the private sins and everyday cruelties that remove any and all humanity from the oppressive regime members, which allow them to indulge in killings and deaths on an unimaginable scale. As the poem declares, "Those to whom evil is done/Do evil in return" (Auden 112). This view links the individual behaviours of the individual members of the Reich to the state aggression, which has now finally led to a world-shattering world war. The poem suggests

that the failure of empathy and justice at a personal level inevitably leads to conditions that lead to the growth of authoritarian societies and fascist governments. SDG 16 seeks to prevent such tragic wars and destruction by calling for or strengthening institutions to prevent all kinds of violence. However, as Auden explains, these preventive measures must take place before armies mobilise and wars start, by confronting the decline of truth, justice, and accountability within society.

The poem is a sharp critique of the failures of global institutions and the “blind skyscrapers” (Auden 113) of a neutral America, which is now acting as if the war in Europe does not affect it in any way. The skyscrapers of New York symbolise a self-interested capitalism that ignores everything happening around it, the “international wrong” that it thinks won’t affect it. However, Auden’s speaker recognises that war grows out of “our private lives” (Auden 112). This sentiment links all public disasters to individual responsibility. What is happening in and around our lives and our societies is intrinsically connected to our own behaviour and our attitudes towards justice and truth. The famous though later discovered line, “we must love one another or die” (Auden 114), does not remain a sentimental plea but a warning and a diagnosis that, without mutual love or respect, the world may fall into periods of war and destruction, which would then lead to the unnecessary loss of life. It suggests that any society that does not value love and empathy may soon find itself slipping into self-destruction. The poem then suggests that peace is not merely the

absence of any war. Rather, peace should mean the active presence of just and accountable relationships at every level of society, from the mere individual to the all-powerful state.

The critique across all four poems shows a growing understanding of governance and how its absence or failures can actually lead to self-destruction, resulting in unnecessary loss of life. The literary progression in these poems reflects changes in political thought across generations, from a focus on individual rulers in “Ozymandias” to an understanding of complex systems and active citizenship in “September 1, 1939”. These ideas are central to the goals of SDG 16 and its emphasis on strong institutions (“The Global Goals”). Thus, these poems, when read together, seek to provide a clear literary history of the idea of good governance and strong systems that have the potential to create just, equitable and inclusive societies that can then prevent wars and any loss of precious human lives.

### **Langston Hughes and the Poetics of a Just Future**

Langston Hughes’ “I Dream a World” captures powerfully and perfectly the positive and aspirational goals of SDG 16. The poem emerged from the cultural climate of the Harlem Renaissance and anticipates the American Civil Rights Movement. It is a strong example of protest poetry. The poem not only criticises injustice but also imagines a just and equitable future. The pressures of the world wars and circumstances in his personal life forced Hughes to rethink the role of politics in his writings.

When the National Conference of Christians and Jews invited him to contribute a poem, he offered “I Dream a World” as his response (Rampersad 25). While the poems of Yeats, Shelley, Tennyson, and Auden show the world that SDG 16 seeks to prevent, Hughes’ poem presents a poetic vision of the world it aims to build.

“I Dream a World”, unlike the poems already analysed, is a poem of hope which expresses a longing for an ideal world and an ideal society. The poem employs straightforward language, with each stanza presenting a statement that reflects issues and values relevant to SDG16. For instance, the poem starts by portraying a utopian society and social structure, saying, “I dream a world where man/ No other man will scorn” (Hughes 311). These lines, which share a lot of similarities with Martin Luther King Jr’s “I have a Dream” speech at the Lincoln Memorial at the height of the Civil Rights movement of the 1960s, express an ideological position that aligns a lot with Target 16b of SDG16 which encourages the advancement and implementation of “non-discriminatory laws and policies” (“The Global Goals”). In such a utopian society, which Hughes dreams of, there is no place for either prejudice, racism or any other sort of discriminatory treatment or for any other exclusionary measure that may lead to conflicts or strife within the human society.

The poem then continues, “[w]here love will bless the earth/ And peace its paths adorn” (Hughes 311), articulating thus the central aim of SDG 16: to promote peaceful coexistence and create inclusive societies. The target seeks to “significantly reduce all

forms of violence” (“The Global Goals”), especially by seeking to end all kinds of war and destruction. The poem clearly shows how, for Hughes, peace is no longer a passive condition. It is rather an active and shaping force that has the power to change the world for the better. It does closely relate to empathy, love and shared humanity.

The final lines expand this vision to include the goals of economic and social justice. Hughes imagines a world “[w]here greed no longer saps the soul / Nor avarice blights our day” (Hughes 311). These lines correspond to the corruption and illicit financial practices Target 16.5 and Target 16.4 seek to eliminate. Hughes holds greed and avarice to be moral barriers to achieving a just society. The poem concludes with a utopian vision of a shared sense of well-being: “A world I dream where black or white, / Whatever race you be, / Will share the bounties of the earth / And every man is free” (Hughes 311). These lines express the ideal of equal access to justice and opportunity, as outlined in Target 16.3. They emphasise unity through justice and ensure that no group is marginalised and that justice brings “joy, like a pearl, / Attends the needs of all mankind” (Hughes 311).

These artistic visions represent more than just a sentiment. They also have a political significance. For example, the poetry of Hughes contributed to the kind of rhetorical expressions presented by Martin Luther King, Jr. There is a clear nexus between the artistic dream and the actions. There is a particular meaning in reaching the target, which is described through SDG 16. It takes policymakers and the general public back to the hope that the work

aims to serve. In fact, Hughes' "I Dream a World" takes an abstract concept, such as "sustainable development," and makes it more concrete. Here, peace, along with justice, is not a policy. It now becomes a part of daily living.

### Conclusion

This paper shows that, when considered through the framework of SDG 16, W B Yeats' "The Second Coming", P B Shelley's "Ozymandias", Alfred, Lord Tennyson's "The Charge of the Light Brigade", W H Auden's "September 1, 1939", and Langston Hughes' "I Dream a World" function on two levels.

Firstly, they highlight the issues that SDG 16 aims to address, including violence, inequality, and institutional failures. Yeats' "The Second Coming" captures the institutional turmoil that arises when the "centre cannot hold" (Yeats 187). Shelley's "Ozymandias" warns that tyranny cannot be sustainable. Tennyson's "The Charge of the Light Brigade" captures the human cost of institutional incompetence, while Auden's "September 1, 1939" examines the political and moral tensions of its era. These select

poems capture the shortcomings of a world that lacks peace, justice, and good or sound institutions. Secondly, Langston Hughes' "I Dream a World" represents the desire of the poet to envision a more equal and sustainable future. The desire corresponds with the vision of SDG 16, which also represents a more equal and sustainable future. In short, Hughes' poem transforms the policy goals into a precise poetic vision.

However, in addition to these functions, these poems also represent a means through which the literary dimensions of global justice are expressed. This analysis makes it clear that literature and art function as parallel and more enduring institutions – ones that preserve moral memory. They record the human condition, bear witness to injustice, and place the faces of power under the unflinching gaze of history. Thus, just as the 2030 Agenda offers tools for creating a better world through its policies, literature records the lessons revealed through the lived human experience. In this pursuit, literature emerges as a crucial tool of knowledge, a record of our past failures, and a guide for our future ambitions.

NITHIN VARGHESE AND AMAL TOM

### Works Cited

- |   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| <p>Auden, W H. <i>Another Time</i>. Faber &amp; Faber, 1940.</p> <p>Darrohn, Christine. "Flashes of "The Charge of the Light Brigade" in To the Lighthouse: The Ethics of Intertextuality". <i>Virginia Woolf: Selected Papers</i>, vol. 31, pp. 197–202.</p> | <p>Hope Sr., Kempe Ronald. "Peace, Justice and Inclusive Institutions: Overcoming Challenges to the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 16". <i>Global Change, Peace &amp; Security</i>, vol. 32, no. 1, 2020, pp. 57–77.</p> <p>Houten, Kirsten Van and Alistair D Edgar. "Peaceful, Just,</p> | <p>Inclusive? Unpacking the SDG 16 Agenda". <i>Sustainable Development Goal 16 and the Global Governance of Violence: Critical Reflections on the Uncertain Future of Peace</i>, edited by Timothy Donais, Alistair D Edgar, and Kirsten Van Houten. Routledge, 2024, pp. 1–8.</p> <p>Hughes, Langston. "I Dream a World." <i>The</i></p> | <p><i>Collected Poems of Langston Hughes</i>, edited by Arnold Rampersad, Vintage Classics, 1994, pp. 311.</p> <p>Quackenbush, Karen Celebrado and Don Alan Quackenbush. "Stylistics Analysis of "The Charge of the Light Brigade" by Alfred Lord Tennyson". <i>International Journal of Applied Rese-</i></p> |
|---|--|---|--|

*arch*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2021, pp. 218–223.

Radović, Vesela. *SDG16 – Peace and Justice: Challenges, Actions, and the Way Forward*. Emerald Publishing, 2019.

Rampersad, Arnold. *The Life of Langston Hughes: Volume II: 1941–1967, I Dream a World*. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 2002.

Shannon, Edgar, and Christopher Ricks. “‘The Charge of the Light Brigade’: The Creation of a Poem”. *Studies in Bibliography*, vol. 38, 1985, pp. 1–44.

Shelley, P.B. *Poems*. The Folio Society, 1949.

Stephens, Walter. “Ozymandias: Or, Writing, Lost Libraries, and Wonder”. *MLN*, vol. 124, no. 5, December 2009, pp. S155–S168.

“Sustainable Development Goal 16”. United Nations and the Rule of Law. <https://www.un.org/ruleoflaw/sdg-16/> Accessed on 31 Aug 2025.

Telin, Kirill O. “Following the Path of Ozymandias”. *Russia in Global Affairs*, vol. 20, no. 2, 2022, pp. 28–37.

Tennyson, Alfred. *Maud and Other Poems*. Strahan and Co., 1869.

“The Global Goals.” *Project Everyone*. <https://globalgoals.org/>

goals/16-peace-justice-and-strong-institutions/ Accessed on 29 Aug 2025.

Weeks, Donald. “Image and Idea in Yeats’ the Second Coming”. *PMLA*, vol. 63, no. 1, March 1948, pp. 281–292.

Yeats, W B. “The Second Coming.” *The Collected Poems of W B Yeats*, edited by Richard J Finneran, Scribner Paperback Poetry, 1996, pp. 187.

Zaman, Bidisha and Keya Chakraborty. “Transience in Shelley’s Ozymandias: A Poetic Appeal to Human’s Finer Instincts.” *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, vol 24, no. 8, August 2019, pp. 65–69.